

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPL	ICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
0	9/719,705	12/13/2000	Alain Emile fracois Roesgen	DN1998090USA	3268
	75	690 01/07/2003			
-	Howard M Cohn			EXAMINER	
Robert W Brown Dept 823 The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company 1144 East Market Street Akron, OH 44316-0001				KNABLE, GEOFFREY L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-	,	,		1733	
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/719,705	ROESGEN, ALAIN EMILE FRACOIS
Examin r	Art Unit
Geoffrey L. Knable	1733

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed No Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in tice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued FR 1.114.
PERI	OD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
event, however, will the statutory period for rep ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the	date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. T REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP .136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee appropriate of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in er than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension the	. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in ereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not	be entered because:
(a) X they raise new issues that would	require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter	r (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or	application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims w	ithout canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo	llowing rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ application in condition for allowance	☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be concluded by the Examiner in the final results.	onsidered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly jection.
	l amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an ded claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be	e) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	on: <u>15-20</u> .
8. The proposed drawing correction filed	l on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclos	sure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 009/719,705

Continuation of 2. NOTE: newly defining that the underlay is a wound ribbon with adjacent non-overlapping windings and a cord angle at 0 to 5 degress raises new issues requiring further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it relates primarily to the new features that are deemed to raise new issues. It is noted however that the proposed changes to claim 1 in the amendment would have overcome the 35 USC 112 issues from the final rejection (in light of the cancellation of the rejected claims) and further would have overcome the art rejections based upon 35 USC 102(b) from the final rejection. New issues of potential new rejections under 35 USC 103 are however raised as noted above.